

ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD MAIN FLOOR CITY HALL 1 SIR WINSTON CHURCHILL SQUARE EDMONTON AB T5J 2R7 (780) 496-5026 FAX (780) 496-8199

NOTICE OF DECISION NO. 0098 370/10

Altus Group Ltd 17327 - 106A Avenue Edmonton AB T5S 1M7 The City of Edmonton Assessment and Taxation Branch 600 Chancery Hall 3 Sir Winston Churchill Square Edmonton AB T5J 2C3

This is a decision of the Composite Assessment Review Board (CARB) from a hearing held between August 23 and October 21, 2010 respecting a complaint for:

Roll Number	Municipal Address	Legal Description
1072982	3111 76 Avenue NW	LSD: 14 19-52-23-4
Assessed Value	Assessment Type	Assessment Notice for:
\$5,880,500	Annual – New	2010

Before:

Tom Robert, Presiding Officer Dale Doan, Board Member Mary Sheldon, Board Member

Persons Appearing: Complainant Walid Melhem

Board Officer:

Segun Kaffo

Persons Appearing: Respondent Joel Schmaus, Assessor Tanya Smith, Law Branch

PROCEDURAL MATTERS

Upon questioning by the Presiding Officer, the parties indicated no objection to the composition of the Board. In addition, the Board members indicated no bias with respect to the file.

All parties giving evidence during the proceedings were sworn by the Board Officer.

PRELIMINARY MATTERS

The parties agreed that all evidence, submissions and argument on Roll # 8480097 would be carried forward to this file to the extent that matters were relevant to this file. In particular, the Complainant chose not to pursue arguments with respect to the evidence he had provided regarding the income approach to value.

The Complainant and the Respondent presented to the Board differing time adjustment figures for industrial warehouses based on the Complainant's submission that some data used in the preparation of the Respondent's time adjustment model was faulty. The Board reviewed the data from the Complainant used in the preparation of his time adjustment figures and was of the opinion that the data used was somewhat questionable (Exhibit C-2). In any event, the differences between the time adjustment charts used by the parties for industrial warehouses were small and in many cases of little significance. Therefore, the Board has accepted the time adjustment figures used by the Respondent.

BACKGROUND

The subject property is a medium warehouse built in 2004 and located in the Southeast (Annexed) Industrial subdivision of the City of Edmonton. The property has a total building area of 20,944 square feet including finished mezzanine area of 1,950 square feet. The subject has 5% site coverage.

ISSUES

The Complainant had attached a schedule listing numerous issues to the complaint form. However, most of those issues were abandoned and only the following issue remained for the Board to decide:

• Is the assessment of the subject property fair and equitable in comparison with similar properties?

LEGISLATION

The Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c. M-26;

s.467(1) An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.

s.467(3) An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration

- a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,
- *b)* the procedures set out in the regulations, and
- c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.

POSITION OF THE COMPLAINANT

The Complainant presented five equity comparables ranging in value from \$167.77 to \$256.40 per sq. ft. with an average of \$219.93 per sq. ft. (C-3a26, page 10). The Complaint argued that the assessment of the subject at \$280.77 per sq. ft. is not fair and equitable, when compared to similar properties.

POSITION OF THE RESPONDENT

The Respondent presented equity assessment comparables ranging from \$197 to \$330 per sq. ft., indicating comparables # 1 and # 2 are the most comparable to the subject, with similar size and site coverage (R-3a26, page 18).

The Respondent also presented a reconstructed chart of the Complainant's comparables with size corrections indicating a range of \$185 to \$270 per sq. ft.

DECISION

The decision of the Board is to confirm the current assessment at \$5,880,500.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

The Board is of the opinion that when determining a question of fairness and equity alone, the assessment equity comparables must meet a high standard of comparability.

The Board found that both parties put forward two of the same equity comparables (2010 - 76 Avenue and 3408 - 76 Avenue), indicating values after adjustment of \$330 per sq. ft. (\$270 per sq. ft. on man floor) and \$288 per sq. ft. (\$248 on main floor) respectively. The subject similar in size and site coverage to 2010 - 76 Avenue is assessed at \$280.77.

Based on these two best comparables and the overall range of the equity comparables of the Respondent, the Board is of the opinion that the current assessment is supported.

DISSENTING OPINION AND REASONS

There was no dissenting opinion.

Dated this 25th day of October, 2010, at the City of Edmonton, in the Province of Alberta.

Presiding Officer

This Decision may be appealed to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c.M-26.

CC: Municipal Government Board Totem Developments Ltd.